

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/083,422	05/22/1998	SCOTT CLARE	016325-00221 3984		
21586	7590 09/23/2005		EXAMINER		
VINSON & ELKINS, L.L.P.			PEDDER, DENNIS H		
1001 FANNIN STREET 2300 FIRST CITY TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, TX 77002-6760			3612		
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/083,422	CLARE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dennis H. Pedder	3612	

zororo uro i milg or an rippour ziror	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dennis H. Pedder	3612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian- time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	heiefin annulinna with 07 OFD A	14 07 the find of	L'. 4				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>08 September 2005</u>. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or	· ·	ducing or simplifying	116 133063 101				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		-					
 For purposes of appeal, the preposed amendment(e): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		ill be entered and an e	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	тось вологи ст съръстось.						
Claim(s) allowed: as stated in paper of 6/27/2005.							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>as stated in paper of 6/27/2005</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>as stated in paper of 6/27/2005</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected. <u>as stated in paper of 6/21/2003</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:			1 20				
!	٥	Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3612	Kalk				
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3612	20/05				

H